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#### Abstract

A thin-walled tube of finite length composed of a neo-Hookean material is sliding on a rough rigid rod under the action of forces distributed on its leading edge. A perturbation method is used to determine the stress and shape of the deformed tube to the second-order.


## 1. Introduction

The theory of large elastic deformations of membranes subject to normal surface tractions is well established [1]. For the solution of various physical problems it is necessary to extend the theory to the case where tangential as well as normal tractions are applied on one or both the surfaces of the membrane. For the derivation of such an extended theory from the three-dimensional equations of finite elasticity it is useful to have some information about the behaviour of a thin sheet under the action of shear surface forces. As a preliminary to the formulation of a general theory we consider the following special problem:

A thin, cylindrical elastic tube of circular cross-section, finite length $L$ and inside radius $R_{0}$ in its undeformed state, slides slowly on a rod of radius $r_{0}>R_{0}$, at constant speed, under the action of forces distributed on its leading edge. Its other edge, as well as its outside surface are free of applied forces. Moreover, we assume that the rod exerts on the inside surface of the tube a friction force which obeys the Coulomb friction law. The corresponding mathematical problem considered is as follows:

The undeformed body is a right circular tube composed of an elastic material, of length $L$, inside radius $R_{0}$ and thickness $H$. The radius of the outside surface will be denoted by $R_{1}=R_{0}+H$. The deformed body is a solid of revolution, its inside surface being a cylinder of radius $r_{0}$, and it is held in quasi-static equilibrium under the action of forces distributed along one of its edges and on its inside surface. The other edge, as well as the outside surface, are free from applied tractions. Sliding friction conditions apply at the inside surface.

We refer both the deformed and undeformed body to the same cartesian axes $O X Y Z, O Z$ being their common axis of symmetry, and assume that the material point of the deformed body with cylindrical coordinates ( $r, \theta, z$ ) had, in the undeformed state, the coordinates $(R, \Theta, Z)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=r(R, Z), \quad \theta=\Theta, \quad z=z(R, Z) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. I. Axial sections of the undeformed (a) and deformed (b) tube. Only the right half of the tube is shown.
Moreover, we assume that the undeformed body occupies the region $R_{0} \leqq R \leqq R_{1}, 0 \leqq$ $Z \leqq L$, while the inside surface of the deformed body is the cylinder $r=r_{0}, 0 \leqq z \leqq l$.

We make use of some standard results from the theory of Finite Elasticity. For a proof of formulae (1.2)-(1.7) the reader is referred to, for example, Spencer [2] the notation of which we follow whenever possible.

From (1.1) we easily obtain the deformation gradient tensor

$$
\mathbf{F}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial r / \partial R & 0 & \partial r / \partial Z  \tag{1.2}\\
0 & r / R & 0 \\
\partial z / \partial R & 0 & \partial z / \partial Z
\end{array}\right)
$$

and hence the incompressibility condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathbf{F}^{*}=\frac{r}{R}\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}\right)=1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the left Cauchy-Green strain tensor

$$
\mathbf{B}^{*}=\mathbf{F}^{*}\left(\mathbf{F}^{*}\right)^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial R}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z}\right)^{2} & 0 & \frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}+\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}  \tag{1.4}\\
0 & \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}+\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z} & 0 & \left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial R}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We consider here the case of a neo-Hookean material which has stress-strain relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}^{*}=-p \mathbf{I}+2 C \mathbf{B}^{*} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{T}^{*}$ is the Cauchy stress referred to $(r, \theta, z)$ coordinates and $p$ is an arbitrary pressure. This relation and (1.4) give

$$
\mathbf{T}^{*}=2 C\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{p}{2 C}+\left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial R}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial R}\right)^{2} & 0 & \frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}+\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}  \tag{1.6}\\
0 & -\frac{p}{2 C}+\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2} & 0 \\
\frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}+\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z} & 0 & -\frac{p}{2 C}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial R}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we denote by

$$
\tau=\mathbf{T}^{*} / 2 C
$$

the non-dimensional stress tensor and assume zero body forces the equations of equilibrium can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(r \tau_{r r}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\left(r \tau_{r r}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}+r \frac{\partial\left(r, \tau_{r z}\right)}{\partial(R, Z)}-\tau_{भ g} \frac{\partial(r, z)}{\partial(R, Z)}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta}\left(\frac{p}{2 C}\right)=0  \tag{1.7}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial R}\left(r \tau_{r z}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}-\frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\left(r \tau_{r z}\right) \frac{\partial z}{\partial R}+r \frac{\partial\left(r, \tau_{z z}\right)}{\partial(R, Z)}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

where we have taken $R, \Theta, Z$ as the independent variables. In the specified configuration we also have the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(R_{0}, Z\right)=r_{0}, \quad z\left(R_{0}, 0\right)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The outside surface of the deformed body has parametric equations $r=r\left(R_{1}, Z\right)$, $z=z\left(R_{1}, Z\right)$, so that the direction ratios of the normal to this surface are $(\partial z / \partial Z, 0$, $-\partial r / \partial Z)_{R=R_{1}}$. The surface of the trailing edge has equations $r=r(R, 0), z=z(R, 0)$ and the direction ratios of its normal are $(\partial z / \partial R, 0,-\partial r / \partial R)_{z=0}$. Hence, because the surfaces $R=R_{1}$ and $Z=0$ are free of external forces, we have, respectively, the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z} \tau_{r r}-\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \tau_{z r}=0, \frac{\partial z}{\partial Z} \tau_{r z}-\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z} \tau_{z z}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad R=R_{1}  \tag{1.9}\\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial R} \tau_{r r}-\frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \tau_{z r}=0, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial R} \tau_{r z}-\frac{\partial r}{\partial R} \tau_{z z}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad Z=0 \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the Coulomb friction condition at the inside surface of the deformed cylinder is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r 2}=-k \tau_{r r} \quad \text { at } \quad R=R_{0} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficient of sliding friction $k$ is assumed to be constant. We also note the obvious restriction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r r} \leqq 0 \quad \text { at } \quad R=R_{0} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Non-dimensional variables

We introduce the non-dimensional constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=r_{0} / R_{0}, \quad \varepsilon=H / R_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the non-dimensional variables

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=R_{0}+H t, \quad 0 \leqq t \leqq 1 ; \quad Z=R_{0} \zeta, \quad 0 \leqq \zeta \leqq L / R_{0}  \tag{2.2}\\
& r(R, Z)=R_{0} u(t, \zeta) ; z(R, Z)=R_{0} w(t, \zeta) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the deformation gradient tensor, incompressibility condition, left Cauchy-Green deformation tensor and non-dimensional stress tensor take, respectively, the forms:

$$
\mathbf{F}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} & 0 & \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \\
0 & \frac{u}{1+\varepsilon t} & 0  \tag{2.5}\\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} & 0 & \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}
\end{array}\right),
$$

$$
\mathbf{B}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta}\right)^{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}  \tag{2.6}\\
0 & \left(\frac{u}{1+\varepsilon t}\right)^{2} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta} & 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\tau=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{p}{2 C}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta}\right)^{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}  \tag{2.7}\\
0 & -\frac{p}{2 C}+\left(\frac{u}{1+\varepsilon t}\right)^{2} & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta} & 0 & -\frac{p}{2 C}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

while the equilibrium equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u \tau_{r r}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left(u \tau_{r r}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial\left(u, \tau_{r z}\right)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}-\tau_{\mathfrak{z}} \frac{\partial(u, w)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}=0  \tag{2.8}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u \tau_{r z}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}\left(u \tau_{r z}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+u \frac{\partial\left(u, \tau_{z z}\right)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the conditions (1.8) and the boundary conditions (1.9)-(1.11) take the forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(0, \zeta)=\lambda,  \tag{2.9}\\
& w(0, \zeta)=f(\zeta), f(0)=0  \tag{2.10}\\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{r r}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{z r}=0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{r z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{z z}=0 \quad \text { at } t=1,  \tag{2.11}\\
& \tau_{r z}=-k \tau_{r r} \text { at } t=0  \tag{2.12}\\
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \tau_{r r}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \tau_{r z}=0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \tau_{r z}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \tau_{z z}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad \zeta=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for convenience, we have introduced the notation $w(0, \zeta)=f(\zeta)$.

## 3. Series expansions. Preliminary result

For the problem, as stated, an analytic solution does not seem to be feasible. So, we try a regular perturbation solution based on the assumption that the thickness $H$ of the undeformed body is much smaller than its inside radius $R_{0}$ and that all the functions to be
determined can be expanded in power series of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon=H / R_{0} \ll 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, R_{0}$ remaining constant, then $r \rightarrow r_{0}$ and $z$ tends to a function of $Z=R_{0} \zeta$ only. Hence we seek the expansion in series

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, \zeta)=\lambda+\varepsilon u_{1}(t, \zeta)+\ldots, \quad w(t, \zeta)=f(\zeta)+\varepsilon w_{1}(t, \zeta)+\ldots, \\
& p / 2 C=p_{0}(t, \zeta)+\varepsilon p_{1}(t, \zeta)+\ldots \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting (3.2) in (2.7) we find that the components $\tau_{r z}$ and $\tau_{z z}$ of the non-dimensional stress tensor are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{r z}=\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \zeta} f^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& \tau_{z z}=-p_{0}+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon\left(-p_{1}+2 \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial t}+2 \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial \zeta} f^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, a substitution of (3.2) in the boundary conditions (2.9) and (2.10) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(0, \zeta)=0  \tag{3.4}\\
& f(0)=0, \quad w_{1}(0, \zeta)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

while from (3.2), (3.3) and (2.11) $)_{2}$ we obtain, to the second-order in $\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad t=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and the incompressibility condition (2.5) we derive, to the zero-order,

$$
\lambda \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} f^{\prime}(\zeta)=1
$$

which, together with the boundary condition (3.4), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(t, \zeta)=\frac{t}{\lambda f^{\prime}(\zeta)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium equation $(2.8)_{3}$, (3.2) and (3.3) give, to the zero-order,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t}\right)=0
$$

Hence, because of (3.6),

$$
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t}=0
$$

This result and (3.7) give $w_{1}=w_{1}(\zeta)$. It follows, because of (3.5) , that $w_{1}=0$.
We note that the result $w_{1}=0$ is independent of the existence or not of shear forces on the inside surface. Hence it is valid for $k \geqq 0$.

## 4. Series expansions

Now that we have the result $w_{1}=0$, we start again with the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t, \zeta)=\lambda+\varepsilon u_{1}(t, \zeta)+\ldots, \quad w(t, \zeta)=f(\zeta)+\varepsilon^{2} w_{2}(t, \zeta)+\ldots, \\
& p(t, \zeta) / 2 C=p_{0}(t, \zeta)+\varepsilon p_{1}(t, \zeta)+\ldots . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The above series and the incompressibility condition (2.5) give, to the zero- and first-order, respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} f^{\prime}=1, \quad \lambda \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t}+\left(u_{1}-\lambda t\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\tau}=\boldsymbol{\tau}^{(0)}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\tau}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{(2)}+\ldots \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the non-dimensional stress tensor we derive, from (4.1) and (2.7), the formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{r r}^{(0)}=-p_{0}+\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}\right)^{2}, \quad \tau_{r r}^{(1)}=-p_{1}+2 \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \\
& \tau_{r z}^{(0)}=0, \quad \tau_{r z}^{(1)}=\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \zeta} f^{\prime} \\
& \tau_{r z}^{(2)}=\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \zeta} f^{\prime}+\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial t}  \tag{4.4}\\
& \tau_{33}^{(0)}=-p_{0}+\lambda^{2}, \quad \tau_{3 夕}^{(1)}=-p_{1}+2 \lambda\left(u_{1}-\lambda t\right) \\
& \tau_{z z}^{(0)}=-p_{0}+\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \quad \tau_{z z}^{(1)}=-p_{1} \\
& \tau_{r \xi}=\tau_{z ;}=0
\end{align*}
$$

while (4.1) and (2.8) give the zero- and first-order equilibrium equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \tau_{r}^{(0)}}{\partial t}=0, \quad p_{0}=p_{0}(t, \zeta) \\
& \frac{\partial \tau_{r z}^{(1)}}{\partial t} f^{\prime}+\frac{\partial\left(u_{1}, \tau_{z z}^{(0)}\right)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}=0  \tag{4.5}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(u_{1} \tau_{r r}^{(0)}+\lambda \tau_{r r}^{(1)}\right)-\tau_{33}^{(0)} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}=0, \quad p_{1}=p_{1}(t, \zeta) \\
& f^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\lambda \tau_{r z}^{(2)}+u_{1} \tau_{r z}^{(1)}\right)+u_{1} \frac{\partial\left(u_{1}, \tau_{z z}^{(0)}\right)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}+\lambda\left[\frac{\partial\left(u_{1}, \tau_{z z}^{(1)}\right)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}+\frac{\partial\left(u_{2}, \tau_{z z}^{(0)}\right)}{\partial(t, \zeta)}\right]=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In the same way we obtain from (2.9)-(2.10) the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(0, \zeta)=u_{2}(0, \zeta)=\ldots=0 \\
& f(0)=0, \quad w_{2}(0, \zeta)=w_{3}(0, \zeta)=\ldots=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and, from (2.11)-(2.13), the zero- and first-order boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{r r}^{(0)}=0, \quad f^{\prime} \tau_{r z}^{(1)}-\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{z z}^{(0)}=0 \quad \text { at } t=1, \\
& k \tau_{r r}^{(0)}=0 \quad \text { at } t=0, \\
& \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \tau_{r z}^{(1)}-\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial t} \tau_{r r}^{(0)}=0, \quad \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \tau_{z z}^{(0)}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad \zeta=0 ;  \tag{4.8}\\
& \tau_{r r}^{(1)}=0, \quad f^{\prime} \tau_{r z}^{(2)}-\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{z z}^{(1)}-\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial \zeta} \tau_{z z}^{(0)}=0 \quad \text { at } t=1, \\
& \tau_{r z}^{(1)}+k \tau_{r r}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text { at } t=0, \\
& \frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial t} \tau_{r r}^{(1)}+\frac{\partial w_{3}}{\partial t} \tau_{r r}^{(0)}-\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \tau_{r z}^{(2)}-\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \tau_{r z}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text { at } \zeta=0, \\
& \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial t} \tau_{z z}^{(0)}+\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} \tau_{z z}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text { at } \zeta=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Solutions

From the zero-order incompressibility condition (4.2) $)_{1}$ and (4.7) $)_{1}$, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{t}{\lambda f^{\prime}(\zeta)} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting this result in the first-order incompressibility condition (4.2) $)_{2}$ and using (4.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}=\frac{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}-1}{2 \lambda^{3}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}} t^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero-order equilibrium equation (4.5) $)_{1}$ and the boundary condition (4.8) ${ }_{1}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r r}^{(0)} \equiv 0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which, because of (4.4) and (5.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}=\left(\lambda f^{\prime}\right)^{-2} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above results, (4.4) and the first-order equilibrium equation (4.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tau_{r r}^{(1)}}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}-p_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, because of the boundary condition (4.9) ${ }_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r r}^{(1)}=\frac{t-1}{\lambda}\left(\lambda^{2}-p_{0}\right) \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The substitution of $(4.4)_{2}$ in this expression for $\tau_{r r}^{(1)}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-\frac{\left(\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}-1\right)^{2}}{\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}} t+\frac{\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1}{\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we substitute the expressions for $\tau_{z z}^{(0)}$ and $\tau_{r z}^{(1)}$ from (4.4) in the third second-order equation (4.5) $)_{3}$ and use the above expressions for $p_{0}$ and $u_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} w_{2}}{\partial t^{2}}=-\frac{\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+2}{\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}} f^{\prime \prime} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which, because of $(4.7)_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}=-\frac{\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+2}{2 \lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}} f^{\prime \prime} t^{2}+t A(\zeta) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration function $A(\zeta)$ is determined from the second zero-order boundary condition $(4.8)_{2}$. A substitution in (5.9) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}=\frac{2 t\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+3\right]-t^{2}\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+2\right]}{2 \lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}} f^{\prime \prime} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from the first-order friction boundary condition (4.9) $)_{3}$ and the expressions for $\tau_{r z}^{(1)}$ and $\tau_{r}^{(1)}$ we derive ${ }^{-}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{k\left[\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\lambda\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+3\right]} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The initial conditions which determine the required solution of this equation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(0)=1 / \sqrt{ } \lambda \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first of (5.12) is $(4.7)_{2}$ and the second satisfies the boundary condition (4.8) $)_{5}$ as well as the requirement that $f(\zeta)$ is an increasing function of $\zeta$ for small $\zeta$. Equation (5.11) is a first-order differential equation for $f^{\prime}(\zeta)$ which can be integrated to give

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\prime}= & Q\left[f^{\prime}(\zeta), k, \lambda\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{k}\left[\frac{\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}+3 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda f^{\prime}}+\frac{1+3 \lambda^{6}}{2 \lambda^{3}} \log \frac{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}-1}{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}+1}-\frac{1+3 \lambda^{3}}{\lambda \sqrt{\lambda}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1+3 \lambda^{6}}{2 \lambda^{3}} \log \frac{\lambda^{2}+\sqrt{ } \lambda}{\lambda^{2}-\sqrt{\lambda}}\right], \quad f(0)=0, \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the boundary condition $(5.12)_{2}$ has been satisfied. The change of variable $f^{\prime}(\zeta)=\tau$ in (5.13) gives, in the usual way, the parametric representation of the solution to (5.11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=Q(\tau, k, \lambda), \quad f(\tau)=\int_{1 / \sqrt{2}}^{\tau} \frac{\xi \frac{\mathrm{d} Q(\xi, k, \lambda)}{\mathrm{d} \xi} \mathrm{~d} \xi, \quad \tau \geqq 1 / \sqrt{\lambda} . . . . . . .}{} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the solution to (5.11) is, in parametric form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\zeta(\tau)= & \frac{1}{k}\left[\frac{\tau^{2}+3 \lambda^{2}}{\lambda \tau}+\frac{1+3 \lambda^{6}}{2 \lambda^{3}} \log \frac{\lambda^{2} \tau-1}{\lambda^{2} \tau+1}-\frac{1+3 \lambda^{3}}{\lambda \sqrt{\lambda}}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1+3 \lambda^{6}}{2 \lambda^{3}} \log \frac{\lambda^{2}+\sqrt{ } \lambda}{\lambda^{2}-\sqrt{\lambda}}\right]  \tag{5.15}\\
f(\tau)= & \frac{1}{k}\left[\frac{\lambda \tau^{2}-1}{2 \lambda^{2}}-3 \lambda \log \tau \sqrt{\lambda}+\frac{1+3 \lambda^{6}}{2 \lambda^{5}} \log \frac{\lambda^{4} \tau^{2}-1}{\lambda^{3}-1}\right]
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From (5.11) we see that $f^{\prime}(\zeta)$ is an increasing function of $\zeta$ if $f^{\prime}>\lambda^{-2}$. We also have $\dot{f}^{\prime}(0)=1 / \sqrt{ } \lambda>1 / \lambda^{2}$ from $(5.12)_{2}$. Hence $f^{\prime}(\zeta)$ is a positive increasing function of $\zeta$ and
$f^{\prime}(\zeta) \geqq 1 / \sqrt{ } \lambda>\lambda^{-2}$. The justifies the condition $\tau \geqq 1 / \sqrt{ } \lambda$ in (5.14) and (5.15). It also follows that $f(\zeta)$ is increasing and, from (5.1), (5.4) and (5.6), that $\tau_{r r}^{(1)}(t, \zeta)<0$ for $0 \leqq t<1, \tau_{r r}^{(1)}(1, \zeta)=0$, as was to be expected from physical considerations.

The quantities $u_{1}, u_{2}, p_{0}, p_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are determined, respectively, by (5.1), (5.2), (5.4) and (5.9) in terms of the solution $f(\zeta)$ given by (5.15). They satisfy, up to the first-orders in $\varepsilon$, the equations of equilibrium except the first-order equation (4.6) $)_{3}$ which involves $\tau_{r=}^{(2)}$ and, hence, $w_{3}$. They also satisfy, up to the first-order, all the boundary conditions on the inside and outside surface except (4.9) $)_{2}$ which, together with the equation $(4.6)_{3}$ can be used to determine $\tau_{r z}^{(2)}$ if necessary. The assumption that no surface tractions are applied on the edge $z=0$ is satisfied to the zero-order.

A noteworthy feature of the solution is that the non-dimensional stress components $\tau_{r r}$ and $\tau_{r z}$ are of order $\varepsilon$. This observation may provide the basis for a more general theory of axisymmetric membranes with tangential tractions. We shall show below that, nevertheless, the effect of tangential tractions on the deformation is very substantial.

The solution given above is valid for $k>0$. For comparison we now consider the frictionless case $k=0$. As noted at the end of $\S 3$ the result $w_{1}=0$ is still valid and if we tentatively assume the same expansions (4.1) we again obtain the same formulae (4.2)-(4.9) and (5.1)-(5.10) where $(4.8)_{3}$ is identically satisfied and $(4.9)_{3}$ is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{r z}^{(1)}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad t=0 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.4) $)_{4}$, the values of $u_{1}, w_{2}$ already determined and the above boundary condition we derive $f^{\prime \prime}(\zeta)=0$ from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\zeta)=A \zeta \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the condition $f(0)=0$ has been satisfied and $A$ is a constant to be determined. From (4.4) $)_{8}$, (5.4), (5.17) and the zero-order boundary condition (4.8) ${ }_{5}$ we obtain $A=1 / \sqrt{ } \lambda$. It follows that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}=t / \sqrt{ } \lambda, & u_{2}=\frac{\lambda \sqrt{\lambda}-1}{2 \lambda^{2}} t^{2}, & w_{1}=w_{2}=0,  \tag{5.18}\\
p_{0}=1 / \lambda, & p_{1}=-\frac{(\lambda \sqrt{\lambda}-1)^{2}}{\lambda^{2} \sqrt{\lambda}} t+\frac{\lambda^{3}-1}{\lambda^{2} \sqrt{\lambda}}, & f(\zeta)=\zeta / \sqrt{\lambda} .
\end{array}\right\} k=0 .
$$

The above solution satisfies the equilibrium equations (4.5)-(4.6) except the first-order equation $(4.6)_{3}$ which involves $w_{3}$. It also satisfies the boundary conditions on the inside and outside surface to the first-order as well as the assumption that no tractions are applied on the edge $\zeta=0$ to the zero-order.

## 6. Numerical results

Some numerical results, in a non-dimensional form, are given in this paragraph. The undeformed solid is assumed to be of length $L=6 R_{0}$, i.e., $0 \leqq \zeta \leqq 6$.

The non-dimensional length of the deformed tube is, to the second-order, $w(t, 6)=$ $f(6)+\varepsilon^{2} w_{2}(t, 6)$, where $w_{2}(0, \zeta)=0$. It follows that the non-dimensional length of the contact surface is $f(6)$ which can be obtained from (5.15), or (5.18) in the particular case $k=0$. Otherwise, since $f^{\prime}(\zeta)$ is an increasing function of $\zeta$, one can use (5.13) and the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\zeta)=\zeta f^{\prime}(\zeta)-\int_{1 / \sqrt{\lambda}}^{\prime}(\zeta) Q(\xi, k, \lambda) \mathrm{d} \xi \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. 2, $f(6)$ is plotted against $\lambda, 1 \leqq \lambda \leqq 2$, for nine values of the friction coefficient $k, 0 \leqq k_{i} \leqq 0.8$. We note that a typical value of the coefficient of kinetic friction of rubber on metal is $k=0.3$. For constant $\lambda$ the deformed length is an increasing function of $k$ and, for constant $k \geqq 0.1$, an increasing function of $\lambda$, the steepest increase being for values of $\lambda$ close to 1 . For small values of $k$, say $0 \leqq k \leqq 0.1, f$ appears to be a decreasing function of $\lambda$.

It is easily seen that the total axial traction $F$ applied on the surface $\zeta=$ constant of


Fig. 2. The dependence of $f(6)$ on $\lambda$ and $k$.
the deformed body is given by the formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{F}{4 \pi C R_{0}^{2}}=\varepsilon F_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} F_{2}, \quad F_{1}=\frac{\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}-1}{\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{3}}, \\
& F_{2}=\frac{1}{2\left(\lambda f^{\prime}\right)^{4}}\left\{\left(\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}-1\right)^{2}+\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}-1\right]+2\left[1-\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\right\} . \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\zeta=6$ the above formula gives the external force applied on the leading edge of the sliding tube. In Fig. 3, the coefficient $F_{1}$ in the first-order approximation $\varepsilon F_{1}$ is plotted against $\lambda$ for eight different values of $k$. It is seen that $F_{1}$ is an increasing function of $k$ for each value of $\lambda$ and, for constant $k$, it is an increasing function of $\lambda$, the increase being steeper for values of $\lambda$ close to 1 .

To second-order, the material lines which originally were straight lines in the $\mathbf{e}_{r}$ direction deform to parabolas with slope

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial z / \partial r=\varepsilon g_{1}(t, \zeta)+\varepsilon^{2} g_{2}(t, \zeta) \\
& g_{1}(t, \zeta)=\frac{k\left\{\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+3-t\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+2\right]\right\}\left[\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1\right]}{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+3\right]}, \\
& g_{2}(t, \zeta)=-g_{1}(t, \zeta) \frac{\left(\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}-1\right) t}{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 3. The dependence of $F_{1}$ on $\lambda$ and $k$.
which can easily be calculated from (2.3), (5.1), (5.2) and (5.10). We observe that, to the second-order, the slope is proportional to $k$. As already noted $f^{\prime}(\zeta)$ is an increasing function of $\zeta$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=1 / \sqrt{ } \lambda>1 / \lambda^{2}$. It follows that the slope and the coefficients $g_{1}(t, \zeta), g_{2}(t, \zeta)$ in (6.3) are decreasing functions of $t$, where $g_{1}>0$ and $g_{2}<0$. For the coefficient $g_{1}(t, \zeta)$ of the leading term we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(0, \zeta) / k=\frac{\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1}{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}} \geqq g_{1}(t, \zeta) / k \geqq \frac{\lambda^{4}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2}-1}{\lambda^{2} f^{\prime}\left[\lambda^{2}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{4}+3\right]}=g_{1}(1, \zeta) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extreme values $g_{1}(0, \zeta) / k$ and $g_{1}(1, \zeta) / k$ of $g_{1}(t, \zeta) / k$ are plotted against $\zeta$ in Fig. 4 for four different values of $\lambda$. The maximum value $g_{1}(0, \zeta) / k$, attained at the surface of contact, appears to be an increasing function of $\zeta$ and for constant $\zeta$, an increasing function of $\lambda$. The minimum value $g_{1}(1, \zeta) / k$, obtained at the free surface is, for constant $\zeta$, an increasing


Fig. 4. The dependence of $g_{1}(0, \zeta) / k$ and $g_{;}(1, \zeta) / k$ on $\zeta$ for $\lambda=1.1,1.4,1.7,2.0$.


Fig. 5. The dependence of $u_{1}(1, \zeta)$ on $\zeta$ for $\lambda=1.1,1.4,1.7,2.0$ and $k=0.1,0.4,0.8$.
function of $\lambda$. Upper bounds for the slope at $t=0$ and $t=1$ are easily derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial z /\left.\partial r\right|_{t=0} \leqq \varepsilon k \lambda^{2} f^{\prime}\left(L / R_{0}\right), \quad \partial z /\left.\partial r\right|_{t=1} \leqq \varepsilon k \lambda \sqrt{ } \lambda \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that they are proportional to $k$ and that the upper bound given for the slope at $t=1$ is independent of the length of the tube.

The non-dimensional "thickness" of the deformed tube is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(1, \zeta)-\lambda=\varepsilon u_{1}(1, \zeta)+\varepsilon^{2} u_{2}(1, \zeta) . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 5 shows the variation of $u_{1}(1, \zeta)$ in terms of $\zeta$ for $\lambda=1.1,1.4,1.7,2.0$ and $k=0.1$, $0.4,0.8$. $u_{1}(1, \zeta)$ is a decreasing function of $\zeta$ and for a given value of $\zeta, \zeta>0$, a decreasing function of both $\lambda$ and $k$. We note that the axial stretch $f^{\prime}(\zeta)$ can be calculated from Fig. 5 since $f^{\prime}(\zeta)=1 / \lambda u_{1}(1, \zeta)$ from (5.1).
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